

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,795	09/12/2003	Hugh W. Richardson	060912-5003	6159
	7590 12/13/2004	EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			ANDREWS, MELVYN J	
	DN, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/660,795	RICHARDSON ET AL.			
	omoo Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
ļ	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Melvyn J. Andrews	1742			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
- External control con	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statular reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	lune 2001				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Claim(s) <u>14-71</u> is/are pending in the application.					
5)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 14-71 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>24,25,27-29,65 and 66</u> is/are objecte	, d &a				
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
	on Papers	r cloculor requirement.				
	•					
10)⊠ :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	эг. —				
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/s	are: a) accepted or b) o	bjected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[] -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
''/	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 🔏	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(4) (1)			
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
;	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(	s)					
·	of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∧</b> .□				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	nary (PTO-413) ail Date.			
3) 🔼 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 60804.	5) L Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
. Patent and Trac		6) U Other:				
OL-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 120804			

Application/Control Number: 10/660.795

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 24,25, 27-29,65 and 66 are objected to because of the following informalities: These claims do not have a **status identifier**. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 14-71 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,646,147. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '147 patent claims a process for producing copper-containing and claims the following conditions: temperature, pH, dissolution rate and batch process. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the ranges since these are result effective variables In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215

Claims 14-71 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of copending Application No. 10/704,155. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the 155 application claims a process for producing a copper-containing aqueous solution and claims the following conditions: concentration of monoethanolamine, rate of copper dissolution, temperature, pH, and a batch process.\*\*.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvyn J. Andrews whose telephone number is (571)272-1239. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on (571)272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,795

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MELVYN ANDREWS PRIMARY EXAMINER

MJA December 8, 2004